

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/619,893	07/19/2000	Fumiyoshi Toyoshima	P/3156-16	2082	
7590 11/20/2003 STEVEN I. WIEISBURD, ESQ. DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			EXAMINER BRINEY III, WALTER F		
			41ST FLOOR	N	,
NEW YORK,	NY 10036-2714		DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	ion No	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary							
		09/619,8	93	TOYOSHIMA, FUMIYOSHI			
		Examine	ļ	Art Unit			
		Walter F	•	2644			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on th	e cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)🖂	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2000.						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election i	equirement.				
Application Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the E						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2000 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepte	ed or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection						
-	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen			A) D Interview Summer	(PTO 412) Paper No(a)			
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "said setting data setting unit" in line 6 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of this office action the examiner assumes the setting data setting unit to be a system data setting unit.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "said system data registration terminal" in line 3 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of this office action the examiner assumes the system data registration terminal to be analogous to the one stated in claim 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2644

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (US Patent 4,291,198).

Claim 1 is limited to an electronic apparatus comprising: a storage unit configured for storing links from each selection item to individual setting data from one selection item to another; Anderson discloses a computer that contains (i.e. storage unit) data to control different menus (i.e. links from a selection item to individual setting data) (column 13, lines 27-46). Each selection item encompassing a plurality of groups collected together based on a concept as an upper order concept; Anderson discloses menus (i.e. groups) indented below (i.e. collected based on an upper order concept) a first menu (column 13, lines 27-46 and figure 8). Each of said groups encompassing individual setting data for specified individual setting data for specified individual setting of a system collected together based on a preset concept; Anderson discloses options under each child group (figure 8, elements 141-143) collected based on their parent group (i.e. collected based on a preset concept) (figure 8, element 140). There being provided a plurality of sets of different ones of said selection items as an upper order concept and different ones of said preset concepts as a lower order concept, under the presence of common individual setting data; Anderson discloses different selection items (i.e. under an upper order concept) (figure 8, element 140) and different individual setting data (i.e. under a preset concept) (figure 8, elements 141-143). A selection item selection unit configured for selecting one of a plurality of said selection items in said storage unit displayed collectively: Anderson discloses soft keys (figure 8, element 16) for selecting items

Application/Control Number: 09/619,893

Art Unit: 2644

(column 13, lines 27-46). A setting data setting unit configured for enabling setting of individual setting data belonging to a displayed group by displaying the groups belonging to a selection item when said selection item is selected by said selection item selection unit; Anderson discloses a setting data setting unit that displays the options (i.e. groups) that are currently selected (i.e. selected by selection item selection unit) (figure 8 and figures 1 and 2, elements 13 and 13', respectively). Therefore, Anderson discloses all limitations of the claim.

Claim 2 is essentially the same as claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Claim 5 is limited to the multi-functional telephone apparatus as defined in claim 2, as covered by Anderson, wherein at least a portion of said system data are collected together to constitute sub-groups based on a specified function to which said data are relevant in common; Anderson discloses child menus (i.e. sub-groups) (figure 8, element 143) that are collected together based on their relationship to their parent menu (i.e. function that is in common) (figure 8, element 140 and column 13, lines 27-46). Therefore, Anderson discloses all limitations of the claim.

Claim 6 is limited to the multi-functional telephone apparatus as defined in claim 2, as covered by Anderson, wherein said system data setting unit comprises: a group displaying unit configured for displaying a group relevant to a selected selection item; Anderson discloses a screen (figure 1, element 13) for displaying groups that are selected (figure 8). A system data selection unit configured for selecting individual system data from the group displayed by said group displaying unit; Anderson discloses soft keys (figures 1 and 2, element 16) for

Application/Control Number: 09/619,893

Art Unit: 2644

system data selected by said system data selection unit; Anderson discloses a processor and host computer (i.e. system data selection unit) that process user inputs for various system purposes (i.e. setting system data selection by selection unit) (column 20, line 18-column 23, line 9). A system data registration unit configured for registering the system data as set in a system data registration area used as a reference in the operation of an apparatus; Anderson discloses a processor (figure 4, element 43) (i.e. system data registration unit) (figure 4, element 43) for storing (i.e. registering system data as set) information in a VRAM (i.e. system data registration area) (figure 4, element 59) that is used to control a display screen (i.e. used as a reference in operation) (figure 4, element 13) (column 20, lines 36-50). Therefore, Anderson discloses all limitations of the claim.

Claim 8 is limited to the multi-functional telephone apparatus as defined in claim 6, as covered by Anderson, wherein said system data registration terminal has an area for storage of the same data as the system data registered in the system data registration area; Anderson discloses a host computer (i.e. system data registration terminal) that knows the menu information that is to be loaded in the phone's VRAM (i.e. has an area for storage of same data as in system data registration area) (column 8, lines 41-50 and column 20, lines 36-50). Wherein when system data newly set or changed is routed to the system data registration area, a difference thereof from data already registered in said system data registration area is determined and the resulting difference data is sent to said main body portion;

Art Unit: 2644

Anderson discloses any input action that changes the display (i.e. system data newly set or changed) causes display information to be routed to the VRAM (i.e. system registration area that is part of the main body portion) from the host computer (column 8, lines 41-50 and column 20, line 18-column 23, line 10). Therefore, Anderson discloses all limitations of the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson in view of Lynch et al. (US Patent 6,002,854).

Claim 3 is limited to the multi-functional telephone apparatus as defined in claim 2, as covered by Anderson. Therefore, Anderson discloses all limitations of the claim with the exception of wherein one of said selection items is a selection item of a list of system data which is a summary of the entire system data collected systematically into a plurality of groups; Lynch teaches that when configuring a system one option should include a system overview (column 5, lines 14-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a selection item for a system summary as taught by Lynch for the purpose of providing a way to perform system configuration of the host computer of Anderson.

Application/Control Number: 09/619,893

Art Unit: 2644

Claim 4 is limited to the multi-functional telephone apparatus as defined in claim 2, as covered by Anderson. Therefore, Anderson discloses all limitations of the claim with the exception of wherein one of said selection items is a substrate-based selection item for substrate selection which is a sum of substrates to be inserted into respective slots of a motherboard, said substrates being collected in respective groups; Lynch teaches that when configuring a system one option should include a layout of all expansion devices (i.e. substrates) installed in a motherboard where they are organized according to their physical layout (i.e. respective groups) (figure 11 and column 28, line 11-column 29, line 24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a layout of all expansion units installed in a motherboard for the purpose of providing a way to perform system configuration of the host computer of Anderson.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson in view of Berry (US Patent 4,864,601).

Claim 7 is limited to the multi-functional telephone apparatus as defined in claim 6, as covered by Anderson, wherein said system data registration area is arranged on a main body portion of the apparatus having a function of a telephone set; Anderson discloses a VRAM (i.e. registration area) (figure 4, element 43) as part of a telephone (column 1, lines 14-17 and figure 1). Therefore, Anderson discloses all limitations of the claim with the exception of wherein said setting data setting unit excluding the system data registration area, said storage unit and the selection item selection unit are arranged on the side of a system data

Page 8

registration terminal removeably arranged on said main body portion; Berry teaches separating the LCD display (i.e. group displaying unit) and keyboard (i.e. system data selection unit) from the telephone device for the purpose of providing larger displays and more standard keyboards (column 2, lines 20-30 and lines 57-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to separate out the keyboard and monitor of Anderson as taught by Berry for the purpose of providing more standard sizes.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter F Briney III whose telephone number is 703-305-0347. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W Isen can be reached on 703-305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

WFB 11/13/03 MINSUN OH HARVEY